Successes and Challenges of fortification from the millers viewpoint

FFI WORKSHOP CAPE TOWN
26 NOVEMBER 2010

PresentationOutline

- Summary of the fortification project in Morocco
- Phase I Challenges and Success
- Phase II Challenges and Success
- Phase III Challenges and Success
- Conclusions

Flour Fortification Project in Morocco

- Project is part of the Ministry of Health's strategey to reduce maternal mortality rates
- Conformed to international conventions promoting health of women and children (WHO)
- Alarming annual health statistics: 1500maternal deaths during childbirth 26000 infant deaths

Micronutrient malnutrition responsible for 50% of these deaths

- Addressing micronutrient deficiencies is key to improving the public healthstatus of the population.
- GAIN provided support between 2005 and 2008 to reduce Iron Deficiency Anemia through flour fortification with iron and to address vitamin A deficiency through vitamin A fortification of vegetable oil

- Food fortification required the support of private sector and public sector stakeholders represented in the National Fortification Alliance
- Specific Objectives reached during this phase
 - 85% of mills equippedwith feeders and vitamin and mineral premix
 - 70% of industrial milled flour fortified with iron, folic acid and B group vitamins

- The second support phase GAIN 2010 2012 provided support to the Government of Morocco for miller training, registration and improvement in the flour fortification process at the mill.
- 95% of the industrial milled flour isfortified including 100% of the subsidized flour
 - 60% of fortified flourmeets the regulated flour standards
 - 60% of the population consume fortified flour

Successes and challenges of flour fortification

Millers viewpoint

Phase 2005 - 2007

- The flour fortification project wasfacilitated by an official agreement between the Ministry of Health and the national Federation of Millers in Morocco
- Agreement included the promotion of fortified flour by Ministry of Health
- Price Control system prevented innovation and research and development

- Motivation of industry and public sector professionals to contribute to improving the public hhealth status of the population
- Motiviation of professionals to increase their participation in the market

- Lack of Communication on fortified foods
 - Limited change in the consumers perception of fortified oods
 - Limited encouragement for population to consume fortified flours
- Fixed official flour prices despite
 - Increased cost of premixes and capital costs of feeders and prémix,
 - Heavy burden of import duties and taxes for premix and feeders

- Lack of training and sensibilization ofpersonnel on the nutritional advantages of fortified flour and the flour fortification process at the mill, i.e. stock control of premix, Quality Assurance Quality Control.
- Lack of synchronization between the social marketing campaign of the MoH and the availability of fortified flour in the market
- Lack of advocacy by the MoH to persuade the government to provide tax relief on feeders and premixes.

Phase I Successes

- Trained millers on good fortification practices flour.
- Establishment of a simple QC test system (Spots tests),
- Partial reduction in import duties on premix and feeders
- Social Marketing Communication in place but considered to be limited

Phase II 2007 - 2009

Fortification became mandatory by a legal text

- Fortified flour production to meet regulatory specifications
- Training personnel on the risks of over/under dosage and impact on f;lour quality
- Premix Quality Control
- Lack of Social Marketing and communication about fortified flour and its benefits

Phase II Successes

- Individual mill training sessions on flour fortification best practices
- Good collaboration between government departments responsible for food control
- Increased Production of fortified flour
- Development of new marketing culture based on nutrition and its importance.
- Improved public image of the mills fortifying flour.

Phase III 2010 - 2012

- Action plan to make fortification sustainable with GAIN support.
- Establish and implement new laws on food safety and empower the government appointed authority to control and inspect food to meet the new food safety requirements for all foods

- To conform to the new rules and regulations covering food fortification
- The need to change the premix to meet the latest GAIN standards to align withWHO recommended guidelines
- The cost of fortification in light of the volatile wheat prices and market fluctuations

Conclusions

- Recognition of millers and their corporate social responsibility of flour Fortification, despite the hevey burden of taxes and import duties
- Collaboration between the private and public sectors with industry being considered as equal partners
- Investment in ongoing training of personnel
- Social marketing to support the value of fortified flour and be an incentive for its consumption
- Attention and concern to ensure sustainability and improve the quality of fortified flour